doi: 10.31674/book.2024scibe.007

Innovations in Waste Management: A Review

Prasenjit Mandal[1*], Amit Kumar Kundu[2], Aniruddha Mondal[3]
[1]Santipur College, Nadia, West Bengal, India
[2]Sripat Singh College, Jiaganj, Murshidabad, West Bengal, India
[3]Harindanga High School, Harindanga, Falta, West Bengal, India

**Corresponding Author's Email: mandalprasenjit21@gmail.com*

Abstract:

Waste management has become a pressing global issue due to the swift urbanization, industrialization, and population expansion in recent years. This surge has prompted significant strides in waste management techniques and technologies, aimed at tackling the environmental, economic, and social repercussions associated with waste disposal. This review paper offers a comprehensive overview of these advancements, encompassing various facets of waste management, such as recycling, waste-to-energy conversion, landfill management, and novel strategies for handling hazardous waste. In the realm of recycling, innovative methods and technologies have emerged to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of recycling processes, thereby reducing the strain on natural resources and minimizing landfill overflow. Additionally, waste-to-energy conversion technologies have gained traction as a sustainable means of generating energy while concurrently addressing waste disposal challenges. These approaches not only mitigate the environmental impacts of waste but also contribute to renewable energy production, fostering a circular economy model. Furthermore, effective landfill management strategies, including waste segregation and leachate treatment, are crucial for minimizing environmental contamination and maximizing resource recovery from landfill sites. Moreover, innovative solutions for hazardous waste management, such as advanced treatment processes and containment technologies, are essential for safeguarding human health and the environment from the adverse effects of hazardous materials. Despite notable advancements, waste management still faces numerous challenges, including inadequate infrastructure, insufficient funding, and limited public awareness. Addressing these challenges requires concerted efforts from governments, industries, and communities to promote sustainable practices and integrated waste management approaches. Putting sustainability first and adopting state-of-the-art technologies sets the stage for a waste management system that's resilient and environmentally conscious, ultimately protecting a healthier planet for future generations.

Keywords: *Challenges; Evolution; Infrastructure; Innovation; Sustainability; Waste Management*

Introduction:

In the intricate web of modern civilization, waste management stands as an indispensable thread, weaving its way through the fabric of sustainability, public health, and environmental stewardship (Robertson, 2021). From the ancient days of rudimentary disposal methods to the sophisticated systems of today, the journey of waste management is a testament to human ingenuity, adaptation, and the evolving relationship between society and its refuse. The importance of waste management transcends mere cleanliness; it is a cornerstone of sustainable development and a safeguard for the well-being of both current and future generations (Abdelfattah & El-Shamy, 2024). As global populations grow and urbanization accelerates, the volume and complexity of waste generated reach unprecedented levels. Within this context, the effective management of waste (Figure 1) assumes paramount significance, serving as a bulwark against pollution, disease outbreaks, and ecological degradation (Chilunjika & Gumede, 2021). The evolution of waste management practices is a chronicle of human innovation and responses to environmental challenges. In ancient civilizations, waste disposal primarily consisted of simple methods such as open dumping, where refuse was haphazardly discarded, often leading to unsanitary conditions and health hazards. However, as societies advanced, so too did their approaches to waste. The emergence of organized municipal waste collection in the 19th century marked a pivotal turning point, ushering in an era of systematic waste management. Innovations such as incineration, composting, and recycling gradually gained traction, offering more sustainable alternatives to traditional disposal methods (Ali *et al*., 2023).

Despite significant progress, the contemporary landscape of waste management is fraught with a myriad of challenges and issues. One of the foremost concerns is the sheer magnitude of waste generated worldwide, exacerbated by population growth, urbanization, and industrialization. The exponential rise in consumption patterns, coupled with the proliferation of single-use plastics and electronic waste, has placed immense strain on existing waste management infrastructure. In many regions, inadequate funding, limited resources, and outdated technologies further exacerbate the problem, resulting in insufficient capacity to handle the ever-mounting tide of waste. Moreover, the improper disposal and mismanagement of waste pose grave environmental and public health risks. Landfills, once considered a panacea for waste disposal, now loom as environmental liabilities, leaching harmful chemicals into soil and waterways and emitting greenhouse gases that contribute to climate change. Similarly, incineration, while offering a means of reducing waste volume, can release toxic pollutants into the atmosphere if not properly regulated. The indiscriminate dumping of electronic waste, laden with hazardous substances such as lead and mercury, threatens ecosystems and human health, particularly in developing countries with lax regulatory frameworks (Daum, Stoler & Grant, 2017). Furthermore, the globalized nature of waste has rendered it a transboundary issue, with waste often shipped across borders in search of cheaper disposal options. This practice, while ostensibly cost-effective, can lead to environmental injustice, as marginalized communities bear the brunt of pollution and contamination associated with waste disposal sites (White, 2013).

Figure 1: A schematic drawing of a waste management system

In light of these challenges, the imperative for sustainable waste management has never been more pressing. Addressing the multifaceted dimensions of waste requires a holistic approach that encompasses not only technological innovations but also social, economic, and policy interventions. Investment in infrastructure upgrades, recycling facilities, and waste-to-energy technologies is crucial to bolstering the resilience of waste management systems. Likewise, public education and awareness campaigns play a pivotal role in fostering responsible consumption habits and promoting waste reduction at the source. Moreover, fostering international cooperation and coordination is indispensable to tackling the global dimensions of waste management. By sharing best practices, expertise, and resources, nations can work collaboratively to develop innovative solutions and mitigate the adverse impacts of waste on a planetary scale (Sharma *et al*., 2020). The journey of waste management is a testament to human adaptability and resilience in the face of environmental challenges. From humble beginnings to the complexities of the modern era, their collective quest for sustainability and stewardship of the planet is reflected in the evolution of waste management. While navigating through the complexities of waste management, it's important to learn from the past, tackle present challenges, and steer towards a sustainable future for future generations.

Recycling and circular economy

Advances in recycling technologies have heralded a new era in waste management, offering innovative solutions to mitigate environmental impact and conserve finite resources (Zaman, 2022). Traditional recycling methods, such as sorting and reprocessing, have been revolutionized by cutting-edge technologies that enhance efficiency and efficacy. Automated sorting systems equipped with advanced sensors and robotics enable precise identification and segregation of recyclable materials, streamlining the recycling process and minimizing contamination. Similarly, breakthroughs in material recovery techniques, such as chemical recycling and pyrolysis, hold promise for transforming previously unrecyclable plastics into valuable feedstocks, thus closing the loop on resource utilization (Hinton *et al.*, 2022). The integration of circular economy principles has emerged as a driving force behind sustainable waste management practices. Unlike the linear "take-make-dispose" model, the circular economy seeks to maximize resource efficiency and minimize waste generation by promoting reuse, remanufacturing, and recycling (Lazarevic & Brandão, 2020). By designing products with recyclability in mind and establishing closed-loop supply chains, businesses can reduce their reliance on virgin materials and decrease environmental footprint. Moreover, the circular economy fosters economic resilience and innovation, creating new markets for recycled materials and stimulating job creation across various sectors (Le, Ferraris & Dhar, 2023).

However, despite significant strides, challenges persist in recycling infrastructure and material recovery. Inadequate collection systems and inconsistent recycling practices hinder the efficient recovery of recyclable materials, leading to contamination and reduced material quality (Arya & Kumar, 2020). Moreover, the lack of standardized recycling processes and limited market demand for recycled products pose barriers to scalability and viability. Additionally, the globalization of recycling markets has exposed vulnerabilities in supply chains, with disruptions in trade and fluctuations in commodity prices impacting recycling operations worldwide. Addressing these challenges requires concerted efforts from stakeholders across the value chain. Investment in recycling infrastructure upgrades and collection network expansion is critical to improving material recovery rates and reducing reliance on landfill disposal. Furthermore, incentivizing innovation and collaboration through public-private partnerships can spur the development of breakthrough technologies and business models that drive circularity. Legislative measures, such as extended producer responsibility (EPR) schemes and recycled content mandates, can also incentivize eco-design and promote market demand for recycled materials (Arora, Mutz & Mohanraj, 2023). Recycling and the circular economy represent powerful tools in the transition towards a more sustainable and resource-efficient future. Through continued investment in technological innovation, adoption of circular economy principles, and collaborative action, society can overcome the challenges posed by recycling infrastructure and material recovery. This paves the way for a circular economy that thrives on the principles of reuse, recycle, and regenerate.

Waste-to-energy technologies

Waste-to-energy (WtE) technologies offer a multifaceted approach to waste management by converting various forms of waste into valuable energy sources, thereby addressing both waste disposal and energy generation needs (Ferdoush *et al*., 2024). Among the diverse array of WtE technologies, anaerobic digestion, incineration with energy recovery, and gasification and pyrolysis processes stand out as prominent solutions, each with distinct advantages and considerations. Anaerobic digestion represents a sustainable method of treating organic waste by harnessing naturally occurring microbial processes to break down biodegradable materials in the absence of oxygen. This biological process yields biogas, primarily composed of methane and carbon dioxide, which can be captured and utilized as a renewable energy source for electricity generation, heating, or vehicle fuel (Damyanova & Beschkov, 2020). Moreover, anaerobic digestion generates nutrient-rich digestate, a valuable byproduct that can serve as fertilizer, thus completing the cycle of resource utilization and fostering circularity. Incineration with energy recovery is a well-established WtE technology that involves the combustion of waste materials at high temperatures in specially designed facilities known as waste-to-energy plants. During incineration, the heat generates steam that powers turbines to produce electricity. Additionally, the combustion process reduces the volume of waste and mitigates the need for landfill disposal, thereby alleviating environmental pressures associated with waste accumulation. However, concerns persist regarding air emissions and the release of pollutants such as dioxins, heavy metals, and particulate matter, necessitating stringent emissions controls and regulatory oversight to minimize environmental impact. Gasification and pyrolysis processes represent advanced thermochemical conversion technologies that offer efficient and environmentally sound alternatives to traditional incineration. Gasification involves the partial oxidation of carbonaceous materials at high temperatures to produce synthesis gas (syngas), a versatile fuel comprising carbon monoxide, hydrogen, and methane (Alves *et al*., 2023). Syngas can be combusted directly for energy generation or further processed into liquid fuels or chemicals. Pyrolysis, on the other hand, involves the thermal decomposition of organic materials in the absence of oxygen, yielding biochar, bio-oil, and syngas as end products. These bio-based fuels and chemicals can substitute for fossil fuels in various applications, offering a renewable and sustainable alternative.

While WtE technologies hold significant promise for waste management and energy production, they also pose environmental implications and regulatory considerations that must be carefully addressed (Wilson *et al*., 2015). Emissions from combustion processes, such as air pollutants and greenhouse gases, can impact air quality and contribute to climate change if not effectively controlled. Additionally, the handling and disposal of ash residues generated during incineration or gasification require proper management to prevent soil and water contamination. Furthermore, the siting of WtE facilities must consider local environmental and community concerns, ensuring minimal adverse impacts on human health and well-being. Regulatory frameworks play a crucial role in governing the deployment and operation of WtE technologies, establishing standards for emissions control, waste handling, and environmental monitoring (Jain, Sharma & Gupta, 2022). Striking a balance between promoting energy recovery and safeguarding environmental quality requires robust enforcement mechanisms and stakeholder engagement to foster transparency and accountability. Moreover, incentivizing the adoption of cleaner and more efficient WtE technologies through policy incentives and market mechanisms can accelerate the transition towards a more sustainable and circular economy. Waste-to-energy technologies offer viable solutions for addressing the dual challenges of waste management and energy security. By leveraging anaerobic digestion, incineration with energy recovery, and advanced thermochemical conversion processes, the potential of waste as a valuable resource can be harnessed while mitigating environmental impacts. However, achieving sustainable WtE deployment requires careful consideration of environmental implications, regulatory frameworks, and stakeholder engagement to ensure that the benefits of energy recovery are realized without compromising environmental integrity or public health.

Landfill management and remediation

Landfills, once viewed as convenient solutions for waste disposal, now pose significant environmental and health challenges. However, advancements in landfill engineering, leachate and gas management strategies, and landfill mining and reclamation techniques offer innovative approaches to mitigate these issues and transform landfill sites into sustainable assets (Madadian, Haelssig & Pegg, 2020). Landfill engineering and design have undergone considerable advancements to enhance containment, minimize environmental impact, and maximize resource recovery. Modern landfill design incorporates impermeable liners, leachate collection systems, and gas extraction infrastructure to prevent the migration of contaminants into surrounding soil and water (Touze-Foltz *et al*., 2021). Additionally, engineered caps and covers help mitigate odor emissions, control erosion, and promote vegetation growth, thereby restoring the aesthetic and ecological integrity of landfill sites. Furthermore, advances in geosynthetic materials and landfill liner technologies offer opportunities for cost-effective and durable containment solutions, ensuring the long-term stability and safety of landfill facilities. Leachate and gas management are critical components of effective landfill operations, requiring proactive strategies to mitigate environmental risks and ensure regulatory compliance. If not properly managed, leachate, a complex mixture of organic and inorganic compounds generated from decomposing waste, poses contamination threats to groundwater and surface water bodies. Engineered wetlands, bioreactors, and membrane filtration technologies are some of the more advanced leachate collection and treatment systems that can be used to get rid of pollutants and safely release or reuse treated effluent. Similarly, landfill gas, predominantly composed of methane and carbon dioxide, presents both environmental and safety hazards due to its flammable nature and potent greenhouse gas emissions. Utilizing landfill gas as a renewable energy resource through gas extraction and recovery systems not only reduces greenhouse gas emissions but also provides a sustainable source of electricity, heat, or vehicle fuel (Mac Kinnon, Brouwer & Samuelsen, 2018).

Landfill mining and reclamation techniques offer innovative solutions for remediation and resource recovery from legacy landfill sites. Landfill mining involves the systematic excavation and separation of waste materials, followed by sorting, recycling, and disposal of residual waste. This process not only reduces the volume of waste requiring long-term management but also recovers valuable resources, such as metals, plastics, and organic matter, for reuse or recycling (Nanda & Berruti, 2021). Furthermore, reclamation of post-closure landfill sites offers opportunities for land restoration and redevelopment, transforming former waste sites into productive and sustainable land uses. Reclaimed landfill sites can be repurposed for recreational areas, green spaces, solar farms, or even sustainable agriculture, providing economic, environmental, and social benefits to surrounding communities. However, despite these advancements, challenges remain in landfill management and remediation, including regulatory compliance, financial constraints, and public perception. Meeting stringent regulatory requirements for landfill design, operation, and closure necessitates substantial investment in infrastructure and ongoing monitoring to ensure environmental protection and public health. Additionally, securing funding for landfill remediation and reclamation projects can be challenging, particularly for municipalities or private entities facing budgetary constraints. Moreover, addressing public concerns and perceptions regarding landfill sites requires transparent communication, community engagement, and stakeholder collaboration to build trust and foster acceptance of remediation efforts (Perko *et al*., 2019). Landfill management and remediation represent critical aspects of sustainable waste management, offering opportunities to mitigate environmental impacts, recover valuable resources, and transform waste sites into productive assets. By leveraging advancements in landfill engineering, leachate and gas management strategies, and landfill mining and reclamation techniques, more sustainable outcomes for current and future generations can be achieved. However, addressing the challenges associated with landfill management requires concerted efforts from government, industry, and communities to prioritize environmental stewardship, innovation, and collaboration.

Innovative solutions for hazardous waste

Chemical treatment and detoxification methods offer effective means of mitigating the environmental and health risks associated with hazardous waste. These methods involve the use of chemical agents or processes to neutralize or transform hazardous substances into less harmful or inert forms (Kumar, Singh & Chandra, 2021). For instance, oxidation-reduction reactions can be employed to break down organic contaminants, while precipitation and ion exchange techniques can remove heavy metals from contaminated soil or water. Also, advanced oxidation processes like ozonation and photocatalysis use reactive species to break down persistent organic pollutants, making them less harmful or easier to treat later (Mouele *et al*., 2021). Bioremediation and phytoremediation represent nature-inspired approaches to hazardous waste management, harnessing the power of microorganisms and plants to degrade or immobilize contaminants. Bioremediation utilizes microbial metabolic processes to break down organic pollutants into harmless byproducts, often under conditions optimized for microbial growth and activity (Pal *et al*., 2020). In contrast, phytoremediation involves the uptake, accumulation, and transformation of contaminants by plants, which can then be harvested or disposed of, effectively removing pollutants from the environment. These green remediation techniques offer cost-effective and sustainable solutions for contaminated sites, leveraging natural processes to restore environmental quality (Kurade *et al*., 2021). Nanotechnology applications hold promise for revolutionizing hazardous waste management by offering precise and targeted approaches to pollutant remediation and detection. Nanomaterials, like nanoparticles and nanocomposites, have special qualities like a high surface area-to-volume ratio, reactivity, and catalytic activity. These qualities make them perfect for detecting, removing, and adsorbing pollutants (Roy *et al*., 2021). Nano remediation uses engineered nanoparticles to clean up polluted soil or groundwater by adsorbing them, changing their chemical makeup, or making microbes stronger. Additionally, nano sensors enable real-time monitoring of environmental pollutants, providing valuable data for decision-making and risk assessment (Chakraborty, Kaur & Chaudhary, 2021). However, the potential environmental and health impacts of nanomaterials require careful consideration, necessitating robust risk assessment and regulatory oversight to ensure their safe and responsible use in hazardous waste management.

Digitalization and smart waste management

Internet of Things (IoT) enabled waste monitoring systems utilize sensors and connected devices to gather real-time data on waste generation, collection, and disposal. These systems enable waste management authorities to remotely monitor fill levels, schedule pickups based on demand, and optimize route efficiency, reducing operational costs and environmental impact (Hussain *et al.,* 2024). Moreover, IoT sensors can detect anomalies or hazardous conditions, facilitating timely interventions and mitigating potential risks. Big data analytics play a pivotal role in optimizing waste management processes by analyzing vast quantities of data to identify patterns, trends, and opportunities for improvement. By leveraging predictive analytics and machine learning algorithms, waste managers can forecast waste generation patterns, optimize collection schedules, and allocate resources more effectively (Munir, Li & Naqvi, 2023). Furthermore, data-driven insights enable informed decision-making, empowering stakeholders to identify inefficiencies, reduce waste generation, and optimize recycling and recovery efforts. Blockchain technology offers a decentralized and immutable ledger for tracking and tracing waste throughout its lifecycle, enhancing transparency, accountability, and trust. By recording transactions, from waste generation to disposal, on a distributed ledger, blockchain enables stakeholders to verify the authenticity and origin of waste, ensuring compliance with regulations and standards. Additionally, blockchain-based smart contracts can automate payment processes and incentivize responsible waste management practices, fostering a circular economy and promoting sustainability.

Policy and regulatory frameworks

At the international level, agreements and conventions serve as foundational pillars for cooperation and collaboration among nations in addressing global waste challenges (Batista *et al*., 2021). Conventions such as the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal and the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants establish norms and standards for the management and disposal of hazardous wastes, facilitating cross-border cooperation and harmonization of regulations (Mitsilegas *et al*., 2022). Similarly, initiatives such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Paris Agreement underscore the importance of waste management in achieving broader environmental and social objectives, fostering a shared commitment to sustainable development and climate action. National waste management policies and initiatives provide a framework for governments to articulate priorities, set targets, and allocate resources for waste management at the domestic level. These policies encompass a range of strategies, including waste reduction, recycling and recovery, landfill diversion, and hazardous waste management, tailored to the specific needs and circumstances of each country (Kaza *et al*., 2018). Through regulatory mechanisms such as waste management plans, permits, and enforcement measures, governments seek to ensure compliance with environmental standards, protect public health, and minimize adverse impacts on ecosystems and natural resources. Government regulations play a pivotal role in promoting sustainable waste practices by establishing legal requirements, incentives, and penalties to encourage responsible waste management behaviors. Regulations may encompass a wide range of issues, including waste collection and transportation, recycling targets, landfill operation and closure standards, and producer responsibility schemes (Leclerc & Badami, 2020). By establishing clear rules and incentives, governments can create a conducive environment for innovation, investment, and public-private partnerships in waste management, driving the transition towards a circular economy and a more sustainable future.

Future directions and challenges

Research in waste management is increasingly focused on innovative solutions to improve efficiency, sustainability, and environmental outcomes. Emerging trends include the development of advanced recycling technologies, such as chemical recycling and bioplastics, as well as the exploration of bio-based materials and circular economy models. Additionally, there is growing interest in leveraging artificial intelligence (AI), robotics, and automation to enhance waste sorting, processing, and resource recovery processes, leading to greater accuracy, speed, and cost-effectiveness (Salem *et al*., 2023). Integration of emerging technologies like AI and robotics holds promise for revolutionizing waste management practices, offering opportunities for increased efficiency, precision, and scalability. AI-powered systems can optimize waste collection routes, predict waste generation patterns, and automate sorting processes, while robotics enable autonomous operation in hazardous or challenging environments (Andeobu, Wibowo & Grandhi, 2022). By leveraging the power of these technologies, waste management stakeholders can improve operational performance, reduce environmental impact, and increase resource recovery rates. Addressing socio-economic disparities in waste management remains a critical challenge, as marginalized communities often bear the brunt of environmental pollution and inadequate waste services. Efforts to promote equitable access to waste management infrastructure, education, and resources are essential for ensuring that all individuals and communities benefit from sustainable waste practices. Moreover, fostering inclusive decision-making processes and partnerships with local stakeholders can empower communities to participate in waste management solutions that address their specific needs and concerns.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this review paper has elucidated the remarkable advancements and persistent challenges within the realm of waste management. Notably, key advancements include the integration of innovative technologies such as IoT-enabled monitoring systems, big data analytics, and blockchain, which have revolutionized waste management processes by enhancing efficiency, transparency, and accountability. Additionally, the development of waste-to-energy technologies, such as anaerobic digestion and gasification, opens up new ways to recover resources and generate renewable energy. This leads to less waste going to landfills and reduces environmental impact. However, amidst these advancements, significant challenges remain. Socio-economic disparities in waste management access and environmental justice persist, underscoring the imperative for equitable solutions that address the needs of all communities. Moreover, regulatory frameworks must continue to evolve to keep pace with technological advancements and emerging waste streams, ensuring that environmental standards are upheld, and public health is protected. Central to addressing these challenges is the importance of collaboration and innovation in sustainable waste management. Cross-sectoral partnerships between government, industry, academia, and civil society are essential for fostering knowledge exchange, leveraging resources, and driving collective action toward shared sustainability goals. Likewise, fostering a culture of innovation and entrepreneurship is crucial for identifying and scaling up transformative solutions that promote resource efficiency, waste reduction, and circularity. In essence, sustainable waste management requires a multifaceted approach that encompasses technological innovation, policy reform, and social engagement. By embracing collaboration, innovation, and a shared commitment to sustainability, the challenges posed by waste can be overcome, paving the way for a more resilient, equitable, and environmentally sustainable future.

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to their departmental colleagues for their valuable suggestions and assistance in preparing this chapter.

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest

References

Abdelfattah, I., & El-Shamy, A. M. (2024). Review on the escalating imperative of zero liquid discharge (ZLD) technology for sustainable water management and environmental resilience. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *351*, 119614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman. 2023.119614

Ali, Z., Abdullah, M., Yasin, M. T., Amanat, K., Ahmad, K., Ahmed, I., ... & Khan, J. (2023). Organic waste-to-bioplastics: Conversion with eco-friendly technologies and approaches for sustainable environment. *Environmental Research*, 117949. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.117949

Alves, C. T., Onwudili, J. A., Ghorbannezhad, P., & Kumagai, S. (2023). A review of the thermochemistries of biomass gasification and utilisation of gas products. *Sustainable Energy & Fuels*. https://doi.org/10.1039/D3SE00365E

Andeobu, L., Wibowo, S., & Grandhi, S. (2022). Artificial intelligence applications for sustainable solid waste management practices in Australia: A systematic review. *Science of The Total Environment*, *834*, 155389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155389

Arora, R., Mutz, D., & Mohanraj, P. (Eds.). (2023). *Innovating for the Circular Economy: Driving Sustainable Transformation*. CRC Press.

Arya, S., & Kumar, S. (2020). E-waste in India at a glance: Current trends, regulations, challenges and management strategies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *271*, 122707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122707

Batista, M., Caiado, R. G. G., Quelhas, O. L. G., Lima, G. B. A., Leal Filho, W., & Yparraguirre, I. T. R. (2021). A framework for sustainable and integrated municipal solid waste management: Barriers and critical factors to developing countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *312*, 127516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127516

Chakraborty, U., Kaur, G., & Chaudhary, G. R. (2021). Development of environmental nanosensors for detection monitoring and assessment. *New Frontiers of Nanomaterials In Environmental Science*, 91-143.

Chilunjika, A., & Gumede, N. (2021). Climate change and human security in Sub-Saharan Africa. *African Renaissance*, *2021*(si1), 13-37. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-9239-3_5

Damyanova, S., & Beschkov, V. (2020). Biogas as a source of energy and chemicals. *Biorefinery Concepts, Energy and Products*, 1-14. https://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.90558

Daum, K., Stoler, J., & Grant, R. J. (2017). Toward a more sustainable trajectory for e-waste policy: a review of a decade of e-waste research in Accra, Ghana. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *14*(2), 135. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14020135

Ferdoush, M. R., Al Aziz, R., Karmaker, C. L., Debnath, B., Limon, M. H., & Bari, A. M. (2024). Unraveling the challenges of waste-to-energy transition in emerging economies: Implications for sustainability. *Innovation and Green Development*, *3*(2), 100121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2023.100121

Hinton, Z. R., Talley, M. R., Kots, P. A., Le, A. V., Zhang, T., Mackay, M. E., ... & Korley, L. T. (2022). Innovations toward the valorization of plastics waste. *Annual Review of Materials Research*, *52*, 249-280. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-matsci-081320-032344

Hussain, I., Elomri, A., Kerbache, L., & El Omri, A. (2024). Smart city solutions: Comparative analysis of waste management models in IoT-enabled environments using multiagent simulation. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 105247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105247

Jain, S., Sharma, T., & Gupta, A. K. (2022). End-of-life management of solar PV waste in India: Situation analysis and proposed policy framework. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *153*, 111774. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111774

Kaza, S., Yao, L., Bhada-Tata, P., & Van Woerden, F. (2018). *What a waste 2.0: a global snapshot of solid waste management to 2050*. World Bank Publications.

Kumar, A., Singh, A. K., & Chandra, R. (2021). Recent advances in physicochemical and biological approaches for degradation and detoxification of industrial wastewater. *Emerging Treatment Technologies for Waste Management*, 1-28.

Kurade, M. B., Ha, Y. H., Xiong, J. Q., Govindwar, S. P., Jang, M., & Jeon, B. H. (2021). Phytoremediation as a green biotechnology tool for emerging environmental pollution: a step forward towards sustainable rehabilitation of the environment. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *415*, 129040. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.129040

Lazarevic, D., & Brandão, M. (2020). The circular economy: a strategy to reconcile economic and environmental objectives?. In *Handbook of the circular economy* (pp. 8-27). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788972727.00009

Le, T. T., Ferraris, A., & Dhar, B. K. (2023). The contribution of circular economy practices on the resilience of production systems: Eco-innovation and cleaner production's mediation role for sustainable development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *424*, 138806. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138806

Leclerc, S. H., & Badami, M. G. (2020). Extended producer responsibility for E-waste management: Policy drivers and challenges. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *251*, 119657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119657

Mac Kinnon, M. A., Brouwer, J., & Samuelsen, S. (2018). The role of natural gas and its infrastructure in mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, improving regional air quality, and renewable resource integration. *Progress in Energy and Combustion science*, *64*, 62-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2017.10.002

Madadian, E., Haelssig, J. B., & Pegg, M. (2020). A comparison of thermal processing strategies for landfill reclamation: methods, products, and a promising path forward. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *160*, 104876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104876

Mitsilegas, V., Fasoli, E., Giuffrida, F., & Fitzmaurice, M. (2022). Environmental Crime at the International Level: Criminalisation of Illegal Traffic of Hazardous Wastes under the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal (Basel Convention). In *The Legal Regulation of Environmental Crime*, 55-94. Brill Nijhoff. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004506381_004

Mouele, E. S. M., Tijani, J. O., Badmus, K. O., Pereao, O., Babajide, O., Fatoba, O. O., ... & Petrik, L. F. (2021). A critical review on ozone and co-species, generation and reaction mechanisms in plasma induced by dielectric barrier discharge technologies for wastewater remediation. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, *9*(5), 105758. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105758

Munir, M. T., Li, B., & Naqvi, M. (2023). Revolutionizing municipal solid waste management (MSWM) with machine learning as a clean resource: Opportunities, challenges and solutions. *Fuel*, *348*, 128548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2023.128548

Nanda, S., & Berruti, F. (2021). Municipal solid waste management and landfilling technologies: a review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, *19*(2), 1433-1456.

Pal, A. K., Singh, J., Soni, R., Tripathi, P., Kamle, M., Tripathi, V., & Kumar, P. (2020). The role of microorganism in bioremediation for sustainable environment management. In *Bioremediation of Pollutants*, 227-249. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819025-8.00010-7

Perko, T., Monken-Fernandes, H., Martell, M., Zeleznik, N., & O'Sullivan, P. (2019). Societal constraints related to environmental remediation and decommissioning programmes. *Journal of environmental radioactivity*, *196*, 171-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2017.06.014

Robertson, M. (2021). *Sustainability Principles and Practice*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429346668

Roy, A., Sharma, A., Yadav, S., Jule, L. T., & Krishnaraj, R. (2021). Nanomaterials for remediation of environmental pollutants. *Bioinorganic Chemistry and Applications*, *2021*(1), 1764647. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1764647

Salem, K. S., Clayson, K., Salas, M., Haque, N., Rao, R., Agate, S., ... & Pal, L. (2023). A critical review of existing and emerging technologies and systems to optimize solid waste management for feedstocks and energy conversion. *Matter*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2023.08.003

Sharma, H. B., Vanapalli, K. R., Cheela, V. S., Ranjan, V. P., Jaglan, A. K., Dubey, B., ... & Bhattacharya, J. (2020). Challenges, opportunities, and innovations for effective solid waste management during and post COVID-19 pandemic. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *162*, 105052. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105052

Touze-Foltz, N., Xie, H., & Stoltz, G. (2021). Performance issues of barrier systems for landfills: a review. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, *49*(2), 475-488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geotexmem.2020.10.016

White, R. (2013). *Environmental Harm: An Eco-Justice Perspective*. Policy Press.

Wilson, D. C., Rodic, L., Modak, P., Soos, R., Carpintero, A., Velis, K., ... & Simonett, O. (2015). *Global waste management outlook*. UNEP.

Zaman, A. (2022). Zero-waste: a new sustainability paradigm for addressing the global waste problem. In *The Vision Zero Handbook: Theory, Technology and Management for a Zero Casualty Policy* (pp. 1195-1218). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76505-7_46#DOI