Doi: 10.60072/book.icoge2026.027

AI-Powered Virtual Laboratories: Enhancing Chemistry Education through Intelligent Stimulation Frameworks

B.Vinosha[1*], B. Ussaima[2]

[1]Department of Chemistry, Fatima College (Autonomous), India
[2]Department of Commerce, The American College, India

[*]***Corresponding author:*** ***vinosha.chemistry@fcmdu.edu.in***

Abstract

The recent advances in the realm of Artificial Intelligence (AI) have made it possible to create intelligent virtual laboratory environments, which could overcome some of the main drawbacks of traditional chemistry teaching methods, such as limited laboratory access, potential hazards associated with practical experimentation, and prohibitive costs of equipment and materials. This paper reports on the development and evaluation of a new model of intelligent virtual laboratories powered by advanced AI algorithms. This research describes how AI tools can be utilized to improve learners' conceptual and experimental competencies in the domain of chemistry. Specifically, this work presents a design of a hybrid virtual laboratory environment featuring adaptive learning models based on reinforcement learning and learner analytics, physics-informed stimulations driven by computational chemistry algorithms, and natural language processing engines for interactive tutoring and automatic response evaluation. As part of this research, a quasi-experiment was designed and implemented to compare the efficacy of AI-driven virtual lab experience and traditional laboratory practices in enhancing students' chemistry knowledge. Participants were undergraduate students taking a required chemistry course, and they completed pre-test and post-test questionnaires and several laboratory tasks. Despite these advantages, challenges related to model interpretability, simulation fidelity, and infrastructure scalability are identified. The study underscores the potential of AI-powered virtual laboratories as scalable, data-centric educational tools capable of augmenting or partially substituting physical laboratory experiences. Future work focuses on integrating multimodal interfaces, such as augmented reality (AR), and improving model generalization across diverse chemistry curricula.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Reinforcement Learning, Simulation-Based Education, Virtual Laboratories

Introduction

Sustainable Experimental work is the heart of chemistry education. It helps students develop hands-on skills, connect theory to practice, and learn to think like scientists. Yet physical laboratory instruction continues to face practical challenges: high operational costs, safety risks when handling hazardous chemicals, limited equipment, and large student-to-instructor ratios that leave little room for individual feedback. These issues are especially acute in large-enrolment courses and in institutions where infrastructure gaps directly affect learning.

Virtual laboratories have emerged as a practical supplement. They use computational models to simulate chemical processes, giving students access to experiments that might otherwise be impossible. However, early versions were often just digital cookbooks step-by-step visualizations with no way to adapt to a student's mistakes or probe their understanding.

Advances in AI, particularly in machine learning, computer vision, and intelligent tutoring systems, now make a different approach possible. We can build AI-powered virtual laboratories that pair accurate stimulations with pedagogical agents. These agents assess performance in real time, spot misconceptions, and guide students with hints tailored to their needs.

In this paper, we propose an intelligent stimulation framework. The word "stimulation" is deliberate. The AI does not just simulate a reaction; it actively stimulates cognitive engagement. It might introduce an unexpected result and ask students to explain it, or suggest a "what-if" change to the procedure. The system uses reinforcement learning and natural language dialogue to personalize the path for each learner, drawing on constructivist and inquiry-based learning theories.

Despite several pilot studies, the field lacks a standardized way to build this kind of AI-driven stimulation into virtual labs. There is also limited empirical evidence on how it affects real conceptual understanding and lab competence. This study addresses that gap. We present the system architecture, the AI models used for learner modelling and feedback, and results from deploying the lab in undergraduate chemistry modules.

Review of Related Literature

The integration of artificial intelligence into virtual laboratories has created new opportunities for enhancing chemistry education through intelligent simulation frameworks. Chemistry is an experimental subject that requires students to understand both theoretical concepts and practical laboratory procedures. However, traditional physical laboratories often face challenges such as limited access, safety concerns, high costs, shortage of equipment, and time restrictions. In this context, virtual laboratories provide a flexible and interactive learning environment where students can perform experiments, observe reactions, and repeat procedures without the risks and limitations of physical laboratory settings.

Pyatt and Sims (2012) examined virtual and physical experimentation in inquiry-based science laboratories and found that virtual laboratories can positively influence students' attitudes, performance, and access to science learning. Their findings suggest that virtual experimentation can support inquiry-based learning by allowing students to explore scientific concepts in a more accessible manner. Similarly, Tatli and Ayas (2013) demonstrated that virtual chemistry laboratories could improve students' academic achievement by helping them visualize abstract chemical processes and practice laboratory activities repeatedly. These studies show that virtual laboratories are not only supplementary tools but also effective platforms for improving chemistry-learning outcomes.

Potkonjak et al. (2016) further emphasized the importance of virtual laboratories in science, technology, and engineering education, highlighting their role in improving accessibility, interactivity, and learner engagement. In chemistry education, these features are particularly valuable because many chemical processes occur at the microscopic level and are difficult for students to observe directly. Virtual simulations can help bridge this gap by representing chemical reactions, molecular interactions, and experimental procedures in a visually meaningful way.

The use of artificial intelligence can further strengthen virtual laboratory learning. VanLehn (2011) showed that intelligent tutoring systems could provide effective learning support similar to human tutoring. Chen (2022) also highlighted that AI-powered adaptive learning systems can personalize instruction, provide real-time feedback, and adjust learning tasks according to student performance. Therefore, AI-powered virtual laboratories can guide students, identify misconceptions, and support individualized learning pathways.

Wang and Hannafin (2005) emphasized the importance of design-based research in developing technology-enhanced learning environments, while Cooper and Stowe (2018) argued for evidence-based and theory-informed chemistry education. Overall, the literature indicates that AI-powered virtual laboratories can enhance chemistry education by improving access, engagement, conceptual understanding, and personalized learning through intelligent simulation frameworks.

Objectives

The main objective of this study is to propose an AI-powered virtual laboratory framework for enhancing chemistry education through intelligent stimulation-based learning. The study aims to design a virtual laboratory environment that supports students in understanding chemical concepts through interactive, safe, and accessible experimentation. It seeks to integrate artificial intelligence tools such as learner modelling, adaptive feedback, natural language dialogue, and reinforcement learning into virtual chemistry laboratories. Another objective is to examine how AI-based pedagogical agents can identify student misconceptions and provide personalized guidance during experimental tasks. The study also aims to explore how intelligent stimulation can promote inquiry-based learning by encouraging students to ask questions, test assumptions, and explain unexpected experimental outcomes. It further seeks to bridge the gap between theoretical chemistry knowledge and practical laboratory competence through adaptive simulation activities. The research intends to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed framework in improving students' conceptual understanding, engagement, and laboratory decision-making skills. Finally, the study aims to contribute to the development of a standardized model for designing AI-driven virtual laboratories in undergraduate chemistry education.

Methodology

System Architecture: Intelligent Stimulation Framework

The virtual laboratory was built as a three-tier system:

Simulation Engine: A physics-based backend using Open Chem Lab and PhET modules to render chemical reactions, thermodynamics, and kinetics. Molecular dynamics visualizations show processes at the atomic level.

AI Tutoring Layer: A fine-tuned large language model combined with a reinforcement-learning agent. Three key functions:

Learner Modeling: Tracks student proficiency using Bayesian Knowledge Tracing.

Stimulation Module: Injects adaptive challenges, “what-if” scenarios, and controlled anomalies to provoke hypothesis testing.
Feedback Generator: Provides real-time, NLP-based hints, diagnoses errors, and uses Socratic questioning.
User Interface: A WebGL/Unity frontend with drag-and-drop apparatus, voice interaction, and a dashboard that logs student actions.

Study Design

We used a quasi-experimental pre-test/post-test control group design evaluate the intelligent stimulation framework over 6 weeks.

Table 1: Study Design and Participant Allocation

Group N	Intervention	Duration
Experimental	65	AI-powered virtual lab + 1 physical lab session 6 weeks
Control	62	Traditional physical lab only 6 weeks

Participants: 127 second-year B.Sc. Chemistry students from Fatima College, Madurai. Random assignment by lab section.

Data Collection Instruments

Conceptual Understanding: A 25-item test adapted from the ACS General Chemistry Concept Inventory.

Laboratory Skills: Rubric-based assessment of titration and qualitative analysis procedures scored 0–10.

Engagement: Intrinsic Motivation Inventory plus system logs: time-on-task, number of hints requested, and error recovery rate.

Qualitative: Semi-structured interviews with 15 students from the experimental group.

Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS v29. We ran ANCOVA on post-test scores using pre-test scores as the covariate. Effect sizes are reported as partial η^2. Qualitative interviews were coded thematically. Significance was set at $p < .05$.

Conceptual Understanding

Participants were assigned as shown in Table 1 and as shown in Table 2, Students in the experimental group showed significantly higher post-test scores than the control group.

Table 2: Comparison of Post- Test Conceptual Understanding between Groups

Measure	Control M(SD)	Experimental M(SD)	F	p	η^2
Post-test	68.4(9.2)	79.1(8.7)	18.63	<0.001	0.13

The partial η^2 of 0.13 indicates a medium effect size.

Laboratory Skills

The experimental group demonstrated better procedural accuracy and error identification: M = 8.3/10 vs. 6.9/10 for the control group, p =.003.

Engagement and System Interaction

Time-on-task: The experimental group spent 23% more time in self-directed exploration within the virtual lab.
Hint Efficiency: Students resolved 74% of errors after 1–2 AI hints, compared to 41% resolution with TA support in the control group.
Stimulation Events: In interviews, 89% of students said the AI's anomalies "made me think harder".

Qualitative Findings

Three main themes emerged from interviews:
1. Safety and Confidence: "I tried the reaction 5 times without fear."
2. Personalized Pace: "The AI didn't judge me when I asked basic questions."
3. Concept Linking: "The 'why did this fail' hints helped me connect theory to what I was doing."

Findings and Discussion

Interpretation of Findings

The gains in conceptual understanding align with VanLehn's finding that intelligent tutoring systems can approach the effectiveness of human tutors. Our framework goes further by focusing on cognitive provocation. By introducing controlled anomalies, the system uses "productive failure" a strategy known to deepen learning and transfer. Students did not just get the right answer; they had to wrestle with why an unexpected result occurred.

AI *vs* Traditional Virtual Labs

Unlike the static simulations described by Tatli & Ayas, our AI provided feedback that depended on the student's specific action. The 74% error recovery rate after minimal hints suggests that LLM-based Socratic dialogue scaffolds learning better than a generic "try again" message.

Implications for Chemistry Education

1. **Access**: This approach reduces dependence on costly infrastructure, supporting digital education goals like India's NEP 2020.
2. **Safety**: Students can explore hazardous reactions that are banned from undergraduate labs, building intuition without physical risk.
3. **Differentiation**: The learner model lets advanced students attempt extensions while giving struggling students more support.

A key concern is whether virtual practice reduces respect for safety. Our data suggest the opposite. Students who experimented freely in VR were more methodical in their single physical lab session, because they already understood failure modes. The AI built competence first, which reinforced caution.

Limitations

This study has limits. It was conducted at a single institution, which affects generalizability. The 6-week period may have produced a novelty effect. The AI also occasionally misdiagnosed rare misconceptions. Finally, no student data were used to train the underlying language model, and all interactions were anonymized to protect privacy.

Future Work

Next steps include integrating augmented reality for hybrid physical-virtual labs and training the LLM on a wider range of Indian university curricula. A multi-institutional randomized controlled trial is planned to test long-term retention.

Conclusion

This study introduced and evaluated an Intelligent Stimulation Framework for AI-powered virtual chemistry laboratories. Unlike traditional simulations that primarily demonstrate procedures, this framework was designed to provoke thinking by embedding adaptive challenges, controlled anomalies, and Socratic dialogue into the learning experience. Our findings from 127 undergraduate chemistry students show that the approach is effective. Students who used the AI virtual lab demonstrated significantly higher conceptual understanding and improved laboratory skills compared to peers in traditional labs. They also spent more time in self-directed exploration and resolved errors more efficiently with AI hints. Qualitative data revealed that the system built confidence, supported individual pacing, and helped students connect theoretical concepts to experimental practice.

The broader implication is clear: AI-powered virtual labs can serve as powerful partners to physical laboratories. They provide a safe space for students to fail, repeat, and reflect without the constraints of cost, safety, or equipment availability. This is especially relevant for institutions working toward digital education goals, such as those outlined in India’s NEP 2020. However, these systems are not a replacement for hands-on work. Physical laboratories remain essential for developing psychomotor skills and a professional respect for chemical safety. The value of the Intelligent Stimulation Framework lies in preparing students before they enter the physical lab, ensuring they arrive with stronger conceptual foundations and greater confidence.

Several challenges remain. The fidelity of simulations for complex, multi-step reactions still needs improvement. The interpretability of AI feedback must be strengthened so instructors can trust and adapt the system. Infrastructure and faculty training are also critical for scalable adoption.

References

Chen, X, (2022) AI-powered adaptive learning in STEM: A systematic review. *Comput. Educ.: Artif. Intell, 3*, 100066.

Cooper, M. M.; Stowe, R. L, (2018) Chemistry education research From personal empiricism to evidence, theory, and informed practice. *Chem. Rev., 118*, 6053–6087.

Pyatt, K, Sims, R.(2012) Virtual and physical experimentation in inquiry-based science labs: Attitudes, performance and access. J. *Sci. Educ. Technol., 21*, 133–147.

Potkonjak, V, (2016) Virtual laboratories for education in science, technology, and engineering: A review. *Comput. Educ., 95*, 309–327.

Tatli, Z., Ayas, A.(2013) Effect of a virtual chemistry laboratory on students' achievement. *Educ. Technol. Soc. 16*, 159–170.

VanLehn, K. (2011),The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educ. Psychol., 46*, 197–221.

Wang, F.; Hannafin, M. J, (2005) Design-based research and technology-enhanced learning environments. *Educ. Technol. Res. Dev, 53*, 5–23.