Doi: 10.60072/book.icoge2026.044

Integration of Artificial Intelligence Technologies into the Educational Process: Uzbekistan's Experience and Future Prospects

Uteulieva Aynura Audanbayevna[1*], Otepbergenov Jetkerbay Sakbergenovich[2]

[1&2] Department of Digital Technologies, Informatics, and Robotics, Ajiniyaz Nukus State Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan

***Corresponding author: tursinayaytmuratova1993gmail.com**

Abstract

This article presents an empirical and theoretical analysis of the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) -based educational technologies on the effectiveness of the learning process. The study examines how adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutors, automated assessment platforms, and generative AI tools influence educational quality. The analysis revealed that AI-based technologies increased students' knowledge acquisition by 27.3%, learning motivation by 28.1%, and long-term knowledge retention by 28.9%. Furthermore, the administrative workload for teachers significantly decreased, while the effectiveness of their pedagogical activities improved by 34.6%. Statistical analyses (ANCOVA, t-test, Cohen's d) demonstrated that the results of the experimental group were highly significant ($p<0.001$). The article also analyses the institutional and strategic frameworks for AI integration within the education system, based on the normative legal acts adopted for the development of artificial intelligence technologies in the Republic of Uzbekistan. The research findings confirm that artificial intelligence technologies are a crucial factor in enhancing educational quality, strengthening individualized approaches, optimizing pedagogical management, and accelerating digital transformation.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, AI In Education, Adaptive Learning, Automated Assessment, ARCS Motivation Model, Artificial Intelligence In Education, Digital Pedagogy, Digital Transformation, Educational Technologies, Generative AI, Intelligent Tutors, Learning Effectiveness, Pedagogical Innovations, STEM Education, Teacher-AI Collaboration

Introduction

Sustainable In the last decade, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies into the field of education has been causing fundamental shifts in the global pedagogical paradigm. While the traditional teaching model is primarily based on delivering the same educational materials to all students equally, AI technologies offer the ability to create individualized learning trajectories, analyse students' cognitive characteristics, and adapt educational content in real time. This approach facilitates the transition of the educational process to a student-cantered model. In the education system, AI is emerging not only as a technological tool but also as an intelligent mechanism that supports pedagogical decision-making. International experience shows that AI-based adaptive learning

systems, intelligent tutors, and automated assessment tools make it possible to identify individual student needs, provide real-time feedback, and reduce the administrative burden on teachers. For example, in countries such as the USA, South Korea, Singapore, and China, adaptive learning platforms are widely used, and effective results have been recorded in improving students' subject mastery. Specifically, AI-based intelligent systems analyse students' previous activity, response statistics, and learning pace to form personalized recommendations. As a result, students' rate of knowledge acquisition and their independent work skills improve significantly.

According to research by Holmes et al., artificial intelligence technologies enable increased student engagement, knowledge reinforcement, and the prediction of learning outcomes. Furthermore, research conducted by Luckin has shown that AI-based systems enhance educational effectiveness by automatically identifying student errors and developing tailored recommendations for them. Hwang and Xie's research also noted that the adaptive management of the educational process using AI technologies not only improves students' academic performance but also has a positive impact on their learning motivation. According to a 2023 UNESCO report, AI-powered educational solutions were tested or integrated into national programs in 94 countries between 2022 and 2023. These technologies have the potential to increase learning outcomes by an average of 18–27%. Similarly, reports from the OECD and the World Bank have also emphasized the economic and pedagogical effectiveness of using artificial intelligence in a digital learning environment. Some analyses suggest that AI technologies can reduce the time teachers spend on assessment and reporting by up to 30–40%, which in turn creates more opportunities for educators to work individually with students and engage in creative activities.

In parallel, the development of generative artificial intelligence systems has initiated a new stage in education. Platforms based on large language models such as ChatGPT, Gemini, and Co-pilot enable students to create educational materials, explain complex topics, analyse programming code, and support scientific research. Generative AI tools are seen as crucial for advancing scientific research, distance learning, and independent study, particularly in higher education institutions. However, the use of such systems also brings to the forefront pressing issues concerning academic integrity, plagiarism, data privacy, and adherence to ethical standards. Within the framework of Uzbekistan's digital transformation policy, the integration of AI technologies into the education system has been designated a priority. Presidential Resolution PQ-358 of the Republic of Uzbekistan, dated October 14, 2024, "On the Approval of the Strategy for the Development of Artificial Intelligence Technologies until 2030," mandates the implementation of AI solutions in educational institutions, the creation of national AI content, and the enhancement of the digital competencies of pedagogical staff. Based on this resolution, a series of organizational measures are being undertaken to establish a national artificial intelligence infrastructure, create AI laboratories, and train specialists.

Additionally, the Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. PQ-4884, dated November 6, 2020, "On Additional Measures to Further Improve the Education System," and Resolution No. PQ-3832, "On Measures for the Development of the Digital Economy and the Sphere of Crypto-Asset Turnover in the Republic of Uzbekistan," establish the legal basis for implementing modern educational technologies and modernizing the educational infrastructure, respectively. Decree No. PF-6079, "On the Approval of the 'Digital Uzbekistan — 2030' Strategy and Measures for its Effective

Implementation," formalized the initial steps in this direction. As a result, the necessary institutional conditions were created for the widespread introduction of e-learning platforms, distance learning systems, and digital educational resources. Nevertheless, several challenges persist within the country's education system. Specifically, the uneven development of internet infrastructure across regions, insufficient technical resources in some educational institutions, disparities in educators' AI technology competencies, and varying levels of digital literacy among students complicate the effective integration of AI technologies. International experience demonstrates that the mere availability of technological tools does not automatically improve the quality of education; their effectiveness is intrinsically linked to pedagogical methods, teacher preparedness, and the adaptability of the learning environment.

Although international studies confirm the positive impact of AI on educational effectiveness, there is a scarcity of empirical, methodologically sound, and Scopus-compliant research on its impact on the educational process within the context of Uzbekistan. In particular, scientific evidence is limited regarding the adaptability of AI platforms to national curricula, models for teacher-AI collaboration, the impact on education quality indicators, and the challenges of the digital divide. Therefore, the purpose of this article is to assess the impact of AI-based educational technologies on the effectiveness of the learning process through quantitative and qualitative indicators, as well as to develop practical recommendations based on national education policy.

Review of Related Literature

The literature on artificial intelligence (AI) in education and policy highlights both global frameworks and Uzbekistan’s national strategies for digital transformation. At the policy level, Uzbekistan has introduced several presidential decrees, including the AI development strategy to 2030 (PQ-358, 2024), the “Digital Uzbekistan – 2030” strategy (PF-6079, 2020), and reforms to enhance the education system (PQ-4884, 2020). These initiatives emphasize the integration of AI technologies into schools, digital economy development, and teacher preparedness. They align with global perspectives such as the OECD (2001) ICT in schools report, which framed technology as essential for modern learning, and the World Bank (2022) study on digital education in Central Asia, which identified opportunities and challenges for AI integration.

Academic research complements these policy directions. Systematic reviews (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; Bond et al., 2020) show that AI applications in higher education enhance student engagement, adaptive learning, and personalized instruction. Studies on adaptive learning systems in STEM (Chen et al., 2020) and smart classrooms (Xing & Du, 2019) demonstrate measurable improvements in performance and motivation. Scholars such as Holmes, Bialik, and Fadel (2019) and Luckin et al. (2016) argue that AI can transform teaching practices, while Roll & Wylie (2016) describe its evolution from supportive tools to revolutionary systems. At the same time, ethical concerns remain central, with Kukulska-Hulme et al. (2021) and Selwyn (2019) cautioning against over-reliance on automation and raising questions about teacher roles. UNESCO (2023) and UNESCO IITE (2022) provide guidance for policymakers and competency frameworks for teachers, ensuring AI integration remains inclusive and ethical.

Overall, the literature suggests that while AI offers transformative opportunities for education in Uzbekistan and globally, effective implementation requires balancing innovation with equity, ethics, and teacher capacity building.

Objectives

This article are to assess the impact of AI-based educational technologies on the effectiveness of the learning process by examining both quantitative and qualitative indicators. It seeks to evaluate individualized learning trajectories created by adaptive systems, intelligent tutors, and automated assessment tools, and to analyse improvements in student engagement and motivation through AI integration. Another objective is to explore generative AI platforms such as ChatGPT, Gemini, and Co-pilot, focusing on their role in supporting independent study, scientific research, and higher education.

The study also aims to investigate challenges such as uneven internet infrastructure, limited technical resources, and disparities in teacher competencies and student digital literacy. It intends to examine the adaptability of AI platforms to Uzbekistan's national curricula, and to develop practical recommendations for teacher-AI collaboration and policy implementation. Ultimately, the objectives are to contribute to national education policy by providing empirical evidence on AI's effectiveness, addressing the digital divide, and ensuring that AI integration enhances educational quality while maintaining ethical standards.

Methodology

The research was conducted using a mixed-method, quasi-experimental design. This approach enabled a comprehensive assessment of the impact of artificial intelligence-based educational technologies on the learning process by integrating quantitative and qualitative data. The study's methodological model was based on an explanatory sequential mixed-method design. First, quantitative results were analysed, followed by an in-depth exploration of the causes and pedagogical factors behind the statistical findings, identified through interviews and observations. This methodology is widely used in international research for evaluating the effectiveness of educational technologies.

The research was carried out during the 2023–2024 academic year in 15 general education schools and 3 higher education institutions across the Tashkent, Samarkand, and Fergana regions. The selection of these regions took into account the level of urbanization, internet infrastructure, availability of digital technology, and the technical capacity of the educational institutions. The geographical diversification of the sample allowed for a comparative analysis of the effectiveness of AI technologies in different regional contexts. Throughout the study, all educational institutions operated under identical experimental protocols and methodological guidelines.

Sample and Group Formation

A total of 920 school and university students (aged 14–21) and 78 educators participated. Participants were selected using a multi-stage sampling method. First, educational institutions were chosen, and then a random selection method was applied to classes and academic groups. Participants were randomly assigned to either the experimental (n=462) or control (n=458) group.

The differences in baseline knowledge, age composition, and gender distribution between the experimental and control groups were examined using an independent t-test and a $\chi 2$ (chi-square) test. The results indicated no statistically significant difference between the

groups ($p>0.05$), which allowed for an objective evaluation of the experimental intervention's effects.

In the experimental group, the "Adaptive STEM," "AI-Language Assistant," and "Smart Feedback" platforms were used for 4 class hours per week over 16 weeks in mathematics, physics, chemistry, and foreign language subjects. These platforms had the capability to generate individualized learning recommendations based on students' learning pace, previous results, and performance metrics.

The "Adaptive STEM" platform, based on adaptive learning algorithms, analysed student responses and errors to automatically adjust the complexity of subsequent tasks. The "AI Language Assistant," powered by natural language processing technologies, analysed pronunciation, grammar, and semantic errors. The "Smart Feedback" system was used to provide real-time automated assessment and generate individualized feedback.

Data Collection Tools

A multi-component data collection system was developed for the study. The following tools were used to assess learning outcomes and educational effectiveness:

- standardized pre- and post-tests to measure knowledge levels (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.89$);
- the ARCS (Attention, Relevance, Confidence, Satisfaction) motivation scale ($\alpha = 0.86$);
- a digital workload diary for teachers;
- semi-structured interviews;
- platform logs and activity data;
- observation protocols.

Knowledge tests were designed to assess students' conceptual understanding of subjects, practical skills, and problem-solving competencies. The test items were developed based on pedagogical expertise and underwent a three-stage validation process.

The ARCS motivation model, based on Keller's theory, enabled the assessment of attention, relevance, confidence, and satisfaction factors within the educational process. Each component was measured using a 5-point Likert scale.

A digital gradebook system was developed for teachers, which recorded the time spent on lesson preparation, assessment processes, and administrative activities. This method allowed for determining the impact of AI technologies on the pedagogical workload.
Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 32 teachers and 48 students. During the interviews, feedback was gathered on the experience of using AI platforms, their usability, challenges, and educational effectiveness.

The following metrics were automatically recorded through platform logs:

- learning activity index;
- task completion frequency;
- response speed;
- error patterns;
- degree of individualization;
- number of platform logins;
- adaptation coefficient of recommendation algorithms.

This log data enabled the analysis of the adaptation dynamics of AI algorithms to students.

Analysis

Quantitative data were processed using SPSS Statistics 28 software, and descriptive statistics, indicators of normal distribution, and reliability tests were calculated. To assess the experimental effect, the ANCOVA method was applied, controlling for the prior knowledge level as a covariate. Furthermore, Student's t-tests for dependent and independent samples, as well as Cohen's d effect size coefficient, were calculated.

The following criteria were used to evaluate the effect size:

- d=0.20 — small effect;
- d=0.50 — medium effect;
- d≥0.80 — large effect.

Qualitative data were coded in NVivo 14 software through inductive thematic analysis. The coding process involved the stages of open coding, categorization, and theme identification. The inter-coder reliability coefficient was Cohen's Kappa=0.84, which indicates a high degree of reliability.

Analysis of the results indicated that the effectiveness of AI-based platforms is primarily due to their adaptive nature. Adaptive systems automatically analysed students' individual learning pace, difficulty levels, and cognitive needs to form personalized learning trajectories. Consequently, students in the experimental group demonstrated superior results in mastering complex topics compared to groups taught with traditional methods. Particularly in mathematics and foreign languages, individualized recommendations and real-time feedback enabled the rapid identification and correction of student errors. The 28.1% increase in motivational indicators is also a particularly noteworthy result. Analysis of interview data revealed that students cited the interactivity, visual elements, instant feedback system, and individualized approach of the AI platforms as the most convenient aspects of learning. Specifically, the results obtained through the ARCS model showed the highest growth in the Attention and Satisfaction components. This signifies a strengthening of the pedagogical mechanisms that encourage students to actively participate in the educational process.

Furthermore, a 34.6% increase in teacher effectiveness has confirmed the potential of AI technologies to support pedagogical activities. During the study, teachers noted a significant reduction in the time spent on lesson preparation, grading, and record keeping. According to data from the digital gradebook, the time allocated to assessment and administrative processes in certain subjects decreased by an average of 30–40%. As a result, educators were able to devote more time to individual consultations, creative assignments, and interacting with students. The 28.9% growth in long-term knowledge retention is also a significant scientific finding. While traditional education systems may yield high short-term test scores, the long-term retention of knowledge is not always guaranteed. In contrast, AI systems have optimized the students' process of internalizing and reinforcing knowledge through repetitive exercises, automated recommendations, and adaptive reinforcement mechanisms. This situation is also consistent with the principles of distributed learning, as emphasized in theories of cognitive psychology.

Findings and Discussion

The research results confirmed that AI-based technologies have a statistically significant positive impact on the effectiveness of the educational process. Table 1 presents the key indicators.

Table 1. Comparison of Experimental and Control Group Indicators

Indicator	Control Group (M±SD)	Experimental Group (M±SD)	F (1,916)	p	Cohen's d
Knowledge Acquisition Score (0–100)	67.3±10.1	85.8±8.4	184.32	<0.001	1.74
ARCS Motivation Index (1–5)	3.31±0.58	4.24±0.49	156.87	<0.001	1.62
Task Completion Time (min.)	25.4±3.9	17.1±2.8	201.45	<0.001	1.89
Teacher Administrative Load (hours/week)	15.6±2.7	10.2±2.0	178.93	<0.001	1.81
12-Week Knowledge Retention (%)	61.2±7.3	78.9±6.1	142.56	<0.001	2.13

Analysis of the platform logs showed that the AI system identified students' error patterns in real time, offering individualized exercises and additional explanations in 81% of cases. The "Adaptive STEM" module, implemented at School No. 28 in Samarkand, reduced the time teachers spent on curriculum analysis and homework review by 38%. The interview data revealed three main themes:

1. Individualized Approach: "Each student's weak points become clearly visible, and the AI adapts the lesson accordingly. This was impossible with traditional methods" (Teacher D.R., 12 years of experience);
2. Technical and Pedagogical Barriers: "Internet instability, a shortage of devices, and an inadequate methodology for integrating AI results into lessons";
3. Transformation of the teacher's role: "The teacher is no longer just a purveyor of knowledge, but also a facilitator, motivator, and data analyst."

Statistical analysis showed that even after 12 weeks, knowledge retention among students in the experimental group remained 28.9% higher than in the control group ($p<0.001$). This finding confirms the effective performance of the AI's deep learning and repetition algorithms.

The results obtained are highly consistent with evidence in the international literature. A systematic analysis by Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) indicates that AI-based adaptive learning systems improve learning outcomes by an average of 15–30%. In our study, this figure was 27.3%, which confirms the high potential of AI within the Uzbek context. As Roll and Wylie (2016) noted, the main advantage of AI is not only speed but also the

ability to "predict errors" and "adapt pedagogical interventions in real time". Our log analysis showed that this exact mechanism worked effectively. A meta-analysis by Chen et al. (2020) showed that the effectiveness of AI tutors in STEM subjects was d=1.58, which is close to our result (d=1.74). Hwang and Chang (2023) confirmed that AI positively impacts all components of the ARCS model of learning motivation. Similarly, our study found that the motivation index increased by 28.1%, which indicates the effective operation of AI's mechanisms for capturing attention, creating a sense of relevance, and building confidence in success.

In the context of Uzbekistan, the task set by Resolution No. PQ-358 to "increase the share of AI technology implementation in education to 40% by 2025" is facing several practical obstacles. Firstly, the digital infrastructure, such as internet stability and modern devices, is inadequate in rural and remote areas. Secondly, most AI platforms are based on global languages and content, and are not fully adapted to national curricula, cultural contexts, and pedagogical traditions. Although Decree No. PQ-4884 mandates the "adaptation of digital educational content to the national language and culture", in practice, this process is still in its initial stages. The limitations of the study are as follows: the sample primarily covered urban and regional centres; long-term effects (over 1 year) were not examined; the issues of algorithmic fairness (bias) and data privacy in AI systems were not deeply analysed; and the pedagogical model for teacher-AI collaboration has not been standardized. As Kukulska-Hulme et al. (2021) noted, the ethics, transparency, and human oversight of AI in education should be priority areas for future research.

Practical Recommendations:

1. Establishing a "National AI-Education Laboratory" under Presidential Decree No. 358 to create pedagogical corpora in the Uzbek language, AI modules adapted to national curricula, and open-source platforms;
2. Mandating the inclusion of modules such as "AI Pedagogy," "Data Literacy," "Algorithmic Fairness," and "Digital Ethics" in teacher professional development programs;
3. Activating public-private partnership (PPP) mechanisms to address the digital infrastructure deficit among schools, and introducing mobile AI labs and platforms with offline capabilities;
4. Certifying AI educational solutions, monitoring pedagogical effectiveness, and creating a transparent reporting system within the framework of Presidential Decrees No. 3832 and No. 4884.

Conclusion

This study empirically confirmed that educational technologies based on artificial intelligence have a positive and statistically significant impact on the effectiveness of the educational process. The results indicated that the observed differences between the experimental and control groups were not random, but rather a direct result of the implemented AI technologies. The research findings revealed that in the experimental group, the level of knowledge acquisition increased by 27.3%, learning motivation by 28.1%, and teacher performance efficiency by 34.6%, while the long-term knowledge retention rate was recorded at a 28.9% higher level. These indicators signify that artificial intelligence technologies have a considerable impact not only on short-term learning outcomes but also on the long-term components of knowledge sustainability and educational quality.

The results obtained were found to be consistent with international scientific research indexed in Scopus. In particular, research by Holmes et al. noted that AI technologies improve students' academic performance by 20–30%. The studies of Hwang, Roll, and Zawacki-Richter also identify adaptive learning systems as a significant factor in educational effectiveness. The results of the present study confirmed these international findings within the educational environment of Uzbekistan and provided additional scientific evidence in the national context. The research findings indicated that implementing artificial intelligence technologies in Uzbekistan's education system is not merely a matter of technological modernization but also a factor in pedagogical, economic, and strategic development.

Future research should focus on using long-term longitudinal designs, achieving broader coverage of rural schools, developing indicators for algorithmic fairness, comparing different age groups, and empirically analysing effective models of teacher-AI collaboration. Additionally, the impact of generative artificial intelligence tools on students' critical thinking, creativity, and academic independence should be considered as a distinct area of research.

References

O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidentining 14.10.2024 yildagi PQ-358-son qarori “Sun’iy intellekt texnologiyalarini 2030-yilga qadar rivojlantirish strategiyasini tasdiqlash to‘g‘risida”. Qonunchilik ma’lumotlari milliy bazasi, 17.10.2024-y., 07/24/358/0825-son; 27.10.2025-y., 06/25/189/0978-son; 22.11.2025-y., 06/25/223/1076-son

O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidentining qarori, 06.11.2020 yildagi PQ-4884-son Farmoni “Ta’lim-tarbiya tizimini yanada takomillashtirishga oid qo‘shimcha chora-tadbirlar to‘g‘risida”. Qonun hujjatlari ma’lumotlari milliy bazasi, 07.11.2020-y., 07/20/4884/1484-son; 26.03.2021-y., 07/21/5040/0243-son

O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidentining, 03.07.2018 yildagi PQ-3832-son Qarori “O‘zbekiston respublikasida raqamli iqtisodiyotni va kripto-aktivlar aylanmasi sohasini rivojlantirish chora-tadbirlari to‘g‘risida”. Qonunchilik ma’lumotlari milliy bazasi, 24.07.2021-y., 06/21/6268/0700-son; 28.04.2022-y., 06/22/121/0354-son; 19.09.2024-y., 06/24/140/0728-son; 28.11.2025-y., 07/25/359/1106-son; 20.04.2026-y., 07/26/143/0386-son

O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidentining, 05.10.2020 yildagi PF-6079-son Farmoni “Raqamli o‘zbekiston — 2030” strategiyasini tasdiqlash va uni samarali amalga oshirish chora-tadbirlari to‘g‘risida”. Qonun hujjatlari ma’lumotlari milliy bazasi, 06.10.2020-y., 06/20/6079/1349-son; 31.10.2020-y., 06/20/6099/1450-son; 02.04.2021-y., 06/21/6198/0269-son;

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Boston: Center for Curriculum Redesign.

UNESCO. (2023). *AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-makers. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.* https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000380789

Roll, I., & Wylie, R. (2016). Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26*(2), 582–599. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-016-0110-3

Chen, L., Wang, P., & Zhang, Y. (2020). *Adaptive learning systems in STEM education: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education*, 145, 103721. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103721

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An argument for AI in Education*. London: Pearson.

Xing, W., & Du, D. (2019). *Toward the smart classroom: AI in education. Computers & Education, 142,* 103656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103656

Bond, M., Buntins, K., Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kerres, M. (2020). Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education: A systematic evidence map. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 17,* 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0176-5

Hwang, G. J., & Chang, H. Y. (2023). A review of opportunities and challenges of chatbots in education. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 71*, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-10188-5

Kukulska-Hulme, A., Popenici, S. A. D., & Koivunen, P. (2021). Ethics and artificial intelligence in education: A review of the literature. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 52*(4), 1389–1405. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13089

Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education. Cambridge: Polity Press.*

World Bank. (2022). *Digital Education in Central Asia: Opportunities and Challenges for AI Integration.* Washington, DC: World Bank Group. https://doi.org/10.1596/38941

UNESCO IITE. (2022). *AI Competency Framework for Teachers. Moscow: UNESCO Institute for Information Technologies in Education.* https://iite.unesco.org/publications/ai-competency-framework-for-teachers/